## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, ) Plaintiff, MAGIST	RATEJUDGE DALA
v. )	Civil Action No. 1997 17 ASS.
\$78,990 IN U.S. CURRENCY, ) Defendant. )	04-10696 RCL
VERIFIED COMPLAINT	FOR FORFEITURE IN REM

The United States of America, by its attorney, Michael J.
Sullivan, United States Attorney for the District of
Massachusetts, in a civil action of forfeiture pursuant to Title
21, United States Code, Section 881(a)(6), alleges that:

- 1. This Court has jurisdiction in this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1345, 1355, and 1356. Venue is appropriate pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1395.
- 2. The <u>in Rem</u> Defendant Currency is now, and, during the pendency of this action, will be within the jurisdiction of this Court.
- 3. The Defendant Currency consists of \$78,990 in United States currency, seized from Jose Jaramillo and Peter Kiseskey on July 25, 2003, at Methuen, Massachusetts(the "Defendant Currency").
- 4. As detailed in the Affidavit of United States Drug
  Enforcement Administration Special Agent Michael O'Shaughnessy,
  attached hereto as Exhibit A, and incorporated herein by
  reference, the United States has probable cause to believe that
  the Defendant Currency represents moneys, negotiable instruments,

securities, or other things of value furnished or intended to be furnished by any person in exchange for a controlled substance or listed chemical in violation of the Controlled Substance Act, Title 21, United States Code, Sections 801 et seq., proceeds traceable to such an exchange, and/or moneys, negotiable instruments, and securities used or intended to be used to facilitate any violation of the Act.

5. The Defendant Currency is, therefore, subject to seizure and forfeiture to the United States of America, pursuant to 21 U.S.C. §§ 881(a)(6) and (b).

WHEREFORE, the United States of America prays:

- 1. That a warrant and monition, in the form submitted herewith, be issued to the United States Marshal for the District of Massachusetts commanding him to: (a) seize the Defendant Currency; and (b) give notice to all interested parties to appear and show cause why the forfeiture should not be decreed;
- 2. That judgment of forfeiture be decreed against the Defendant Currency;
- 3. That thereafter, the Defendant Currency be disposed of according to law; and

For costs and all other relief to which the United 4. States may be entitled.

> Respectfully submitted, MICHAEL J. SULLIVAN United States Attorney

SHELBEY D. WRIGHT Assistant U.S. Attorney 1 Courthouse Way, Suite 9200 Boston, MA 02210 (617) 748-3100

Dated: April 5, 2004

## VERIFICATION

I, Special Agent Michael O'Shaughnessy, United States Drug Enforcement Administration, state that I have read the foregoing Verified Complaint for Forfeiture in Rem and the Affidavit, attached as Exhibit A, and that the contents thereof are true to the best of my knowledge, information and belief.

> Special Agent Michael O'Shaughnessy United States Drug Enforcement

Administration

Dated: April 5th , 2004

## COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS

Suffolk, ss.

Boston

Then personally appeared before me the above-named Special Agent Michael O'Shaughnessy of the United States Drug Enforcement Administration, who acknowledged the foregoing to be true to the best of his knowledge, information and belief, on behalf of the United States of America.

Subscribed to and sworn to before me this \_\_\_\_\_ day of April, 2004.

Notary Public

My commission expires:

C:\Documents and Settings\lcotton\Desktop\LEC\Complaint for ForfeitureJaramillo.wpd